Walden Webster Wolf Walsh (IL) Welch Womack Walz (MN) West Woodall Wasserman Westmoreland Woolsey Schultz Whitfield Yarmuth Waters Wilson (FL) Yoder Young (AK) Watt Wilson (SC) Waxman Wittman Young (IN)

#### NAYS-2

Amash

Paul

#### NOT VOTING-38

Bachmann Hirono Pascrell Johnson, Sam Benishek Roby Brady (TX) Jordan Rohrabacher Burton (IN) Landry Rothman (NJ) Lewis (CA) Costa Rush Dovle Mack Sires Maloney Filner Slaughter Fortenberry Marchant Smith (WA) McCarthy (CA) Guinta Towns Gutierrez Meeks Turner (NY) Hahn Moore Velázquez Heinrich Neugebauer Young (FL) Hinojosa Palazzo

#### $\sqcap$ 1914

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. FILNER. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall 296, I was away from the Capitol due to prior commitments to my constituents. Had I been present, I would have voted "yea."

#### PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mrs. BACHMANN. Mr. Speaker, during the evening of Wednesday, 30 May 2012, I missed House votes due to an illness in my family. If I had been present, here is how I would have voted:

H.R. 5651-Food and Drug Administration Reform Act of 2012, as amended, "yea."

H.R. 4201—The Servicemember Family Protection Act, "yea."

H.R. 915-Jaime Zapata Border Security Task Force, "yea."

## PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Ms. SLAUGHTER, Mr. Speaker, I was unavoidably detained and missed rollcall vote Nos. 294, 295 and 296. Had I been present. I would have voted "yea" on rollcall vote Nos. 294, 295 and 296.

## PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. PASCRELL. Mr. Speaker, I want to state for the record that on May 30, 2012, I missed the three rollcall votes of the day. Had I been present I would have voted "yea" on rollcall No. 294, H.R. 5651, The Food and Drug Administration Reform Act of 2012; "yea" on H.R. 4201, The Servicemember Family Protection; "yea" on H.R. 915, The Jaime Zapata Border Security Task Force Act.

#### REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF H.R. 1513

Mr. GINGREY of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to have my name removed from H.R. 1513.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. TIP-TON). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Georgia?

There was no objection.

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO OFFER TO INSTRUCT CON-MOTION FEREES ON H.R. 4348, SURFACE TRANSPORTATION EXTENSION ACT OF 2012, PART II

Mr. BROUN of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to rule XXII, clause 7(c), I hereby announce my intention to offer a motion to instruct on H.R. 4348.

The form of the motion is as follows:

Mr. Broun of Georgia moves that the managers on the part of the House at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the Senate amendment to the bill H.R. 4348 be instructed to insist on provisions that limit funding out of the Highway Trust Fund (including the Mass Transit Account) for Federal-aid highway and transit programs to amounts that do not exceed the following levels:

- (1) \$37,900,000,000 for fiscal year 2012.
- (2) \$37,500,000,000 for fiscal year 2013.

#### □ 1920

## NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM EXTENSION ACT

Mrs. BIGGERT. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and concur in the Senate amendment to the bill (H.R. 5740) to extend the National Flood Insurance Program, and for other purposes

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the Senate amendment is as follows:

Senate amendment:

Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

#### SECTION 1. EXTENSION OF THE NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM.

(a) Program Extension.—Section 1319 of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. 4026) is amended by striking "the earlier of the date of the enactment into law of an Act that specifically amends the date specified in this section or May 31, 2012" and inserting "July 31, 2012"

(b) Financing.—Section 1309(a) of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. 4016(a)) is amended by striking "the earlier of the date of the enactment into law of an Act that specifically amends the date specified in this section or May 31, 2012" and inserting "July 31, 2012"

# SEC. 2. EXCLUSION OF VACATION HOMES AND SECOND HOMES FROM RECEIVING SUBSIDIZED PREMIUM RATES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1307(a)(2) of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. 4014(a)(2)) is amended by inserting before and" the following: ", except that the Administrator shall not estimate rates under this paragraph for any residential property which is not the primary residence of an individual"

(b) Phase-out of Subsidized Premium RATES.—Section 1308(e) of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. 4015(e)) is amended-

(1) by striking "under this title for any properties within any single" and inserting the following: "under this title for—

'(1) any properties within any single'': and

(2) by striking the period at the end and in-erting the following: "; and "(2) any residential properties which are not

serting the following:

the primary residence of an individual, as described in section 1307(a)(2), shall be increased by 25 percent each year, until the average risk premium rate for such properties is equal to the average of the risk premium rates for properties described under paragraph (1).".
(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The first increase in

chargeable risk premium rates for residential

properties which are not the primary residence of an individual under section 1308(e)(2) of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, as added by this Act, shall take effect on July 1, 2012, and the chargeable risk premium rates for such properties shall be increased by 25 percent each year thereafter, as provided in such section 1308(e)(2).

#### SEC. 3. COMPLIANCE WITH PAYGO.

The budgetary effects of this Act, for the purpose of complying with the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010, shall be determined by reference to the latest statement titled "Budgetary Effects of PAYGO Legislation" for this Act, submitted for printing in the Congressional Record by the Chairman of the Senate Budget Committee, provided that such statement has been submitted prior to the vote on passage.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentlewoman from Illinois (Mrs. BIGGERT) and the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. DAVID SCOTT) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Illinois.

#### GENERAL LEAVE

Mrs. BIGGERT. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and to add extraneous material on this bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from Illinois?

There was no objection.

Mrs. BIGGERT. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of the Senate amendment to H.R. 5740, the National Flood Insurance Program Extension Act. As my colleagues know, the NFIP is set to expire on May 31. This program provides vital flood insurance coverage to homeowners in flood-prone communities.

Just 2 weeks ago, we passed a 30-day extension, H.R. 5740, to spare property owners and the housing market from another lapse in the NFIP. That bill was approved by this Chamber on May 17 by a vote of 402–18.

The Senate has since amended our legislation, extending the authorization for an additional 30 days, for a total of 60 days, or until July 31. The Senate amendment also eliminates subsidized rates for second and vacation homes. According to an unofficial Congressional Budget Office staff estimate, this provision will generate approximately \$2 billion to \$2.5 billion over 10 years.

Although not identical, the Senate's reform provision mirrors section 5 of H.R. 1309, the 5-year flood reform bill that we in the House passed with overwhelming bipartisan support last July. And if any technical changes are needed, they can be addressed in any longterm reform measure that we consider in the coming weeks.

On that note, I am pleased to report that, as part of reaching an agreement on this extension, Senate leaders have offered their public and private assurances that they will vote this June on the long-term flood insurance reform. This agreement is a major breakthrough for those of us who have been